

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgiria 22313-1450 www.uspoj.cov

PAPER

05/09/2008

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,005	09/28/2001	David Bruce Kumhyr	AUS9-2001-0766-US1	4840
J. B. Kraft	7590 05/09/200	EXAMINER		
710 Colorado St. #5e Austin, TX 78701			TO, JENNIFER N	
Ausun, 1A /8	/01		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2195	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Application No. Applicant(s) 09/966,005 KUMHYR ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit JENNIFER N. TO 2195 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 September 2007. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/fi.iall Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/966,005 Art Unit: 2195

#### DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-33 are pending for examination.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 4. As per claims 1, 10, 12, 21, 23, and 32, applicant recited the limitations of "simultaneously tracking each of a plurality of sets of sequential build events", "simultaneously displaying each of said sets of sequentially build events".

  However, there is no where in the specification support/describe the recited limitations. For example, the specification paragraphs [0013], [0023] only disclosed tracking each of a plurality of sets of sequential build events, and displaying each of said sets of sequentially build events without describe or support that the tracking and display steps are simultaneously. Thus, the claims contained subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a

Application/Control Number: 09/966,005

Art Unit: 2195

way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this
 Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 35(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the Endish language.

- Claims 1-7, 9-18, 20-29, and 30-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kellbaugh et al. (hereafter Kellbaugh) (U.S. Publication No. 2002/0049962).
- 7. As per claim 1, Kellbaugh teaches the invention as claim including a computer controlled user interactive display system for dynamically tracking and controlling the building of software program objects (abstract) comprising:

means for simultaneously tracking each of a plurality of sets of sequential build events, each of set of sequential build events respectively building a program object (abstract; paragraphs [0091]-[0094], [0096], [0101], [0115], each user (project coordinator, tester, developer) is able to interactively tracking a plurality of sets sequential build events at the same time);

means for simultaneously displaying each of said sets of sequential build events (figs. 22A -24);

means associated with each of said displayed sequential build events enabling any one of a plurality of users to interactively register to perform an action on said build event (paragraphs [0092]-[0096], [0115], [0179]-[0180]); and

means associated with each of said displayed sequential build events enabling any one of said plurality of users to interactively un-register to perform an action on said build event ((paragraphs [0092]-[0096], [0115], [0179]-[0180], [0198]-[0248]).

- As per claim 2, Kellbaugh teaches determining whether the user is authorized to perform said action (paragraphs [0180]-[0187]).
- As per claim 3, Kellbaugh teaches enabling a user to selectively request a displayed data entry dialog box, and determining whether the user is authorized to register from the data entered is said box (paragraphs [0180]-[0187]).
- As per claim 4, Kellbaugh teaches responsive to a state in a build event for automatically performing a registered action on said build event (paragraphs [0180]-[0187]).

Application/Control Number: 09/966,005 Page 5

Art Unit: 2195

11. As per claim 5, Kellbaugh teaches responsive to a state in a build event

for enabling a user to selectively perform a registered action on said build event

(paragraphs [0180]-[0187]).

12. As per claim 6, Kellbaugh teaches that wherein said registered action is

notice to the registered user of a state in a build event (paragraphs [0255])

13. As per claim 7, Kellbaugh teaches wherein another registered action may

be selectively performed by the registered user (paragraphs [0180]-[0187],

[0203]).

14. As per claim 9, Kellbaugh teaches enabling a user to selectively request a

displayed data entry dialog box, and determining from the data enter in said box

whether the user is authorized to un-register to perform an action (paragraphs

[0198]-[0248]).

15. As per claims 10-18, 20-29, and 31-33, they are rejected for the same

reason as claims 1-7, and 9 above.

Response to Arguments

16. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-33 have been considered

but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,005

Art Unit: 2195

#### Allowable Subject Matter

17. Claims 8, 19, and 30 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure (see attached PTO 892 form for details).
- Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.
   See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2195

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from
the examiner should be directed to JENNIFER N. TO whose telephone number is
(571)272-7212. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 6AM- 3:30 PM,
F 6AM- 2:30 PM.

- 21. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 22. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Meng-Ai An/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195